Annex no. 4

University of Economics in Bratislava

Department for Science and Doctoral Studies

Evaluation sheet of the completed research project

Name of the project: ..................................................................................................

..................................................................................................

..................................................................................................

*Evaluator:*

Name and surname: ..................................................................................................

Workplace: ..................................................................................................

Contact (email, phone) ..................................................................................................

Criteria for evaluating the projects of young teachers, scientific workers and doctoral students in the full-time form of study at the University of Economics in Bratislava

Evaluation criteria for ending projects

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria** | **Range of points** | **Point evaluation** |
| 1. **Scientific and practical difficulty of the project (verbal evaluation - max. 200 characters)**   - To what extent do the presented knowledge contribute to expanding the knowledge base? (0-10b)  - Was the project only theoretical research, or does it also lead to practical verification? (0-10 b)  **Provide a verbal evaluation:** | **0 - 20** |  |
| 1. **Achievement of goals and characteristics of used solution methods (verbal evaluation - max. 200 characters)**   - What was the degree of fulfillment of the planned goals? (0-5 b)  - What was the complexity of the project solution methods (only analysis of existing literature, comparative analysis, field questionnaire survey, mathematical-statistical methods, computer processing, etc.)? (0-5 b)  **Provide a verbal evaluation:** | 1. **- 10** |  |
| 1. **Quality of the processing of the achieved solution results (verbal evaluation - max. 200 characters)**   - To what extent do the results of the project solution demonstrate originality and innovativeness? (0-10 b)  - What is the degree of importance of the presented results (knowledge base for a narrowly specified area,  knowledge base for a wider area of inquiry, knowledge base for multidisciplinary areas of inquiry)? (0-10 b)  **Provide a verbal evaluation::** | **0 - 20** |  |
| 1. **Evaluation of project outputs\***   - To be in a journal indexed in Web of Science or SCOPUS (ADC, ADD, ADM, ADN): 8 b\*\*  - Stand in a journal not indexed in Web of Science or SCOPUS (ADE, ADF): 4 b\*\*  - Be sent to a journal indexed in Web of Science or SCOPUS: 4 b  - To be sent to a journal not indexed in Web of Science or SCOPUS: 2 b  - Domestic/foreign monograph, or chapter in domestic/foreign monograph (AAA, AAB, ABC, ABD): 4 b\*\*  - Article in conference proceedings indexed in Web of Science (AFC, AFD): 4 b\*\*  - Other scientific and professional works: 2 b | **according to the output category** |  |
| **5. Effectiveness of the use of allocated funds in relation to the project's goals (verbal evaluation - max. 200 characters)**  - To what extent were the allocated funds used to contribute to the fulfillment of the defined project goals?  **Provide a verbal evaluation:** | **0 - 10** |  |

\* Consider only publication outputs with a link to the evaluated project.

\*\* Consider only publication outputs registered in SEK or submitted to the press (only on the basis of confirmation of their acceptance).:

Date:...............................................

Signature:................................................